



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,564 02/19/2004		Laura Culli	P24613	1702
7055	7590 05/17/2006	EXAMINER		
	M & BERNSTEIN, P	TRAN, QUOC DUC		
RESTON, VA	D CLARKE PLACE A 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			2614	<u>-</u>

DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	oplication No. Applicant(s)					
		10/780,564 CI		CULLI ET AL.	CULLI ET AL.			
Office Action Summa	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Quoc D. Trar		2614				
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	ommunication app	ears on the co	over sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communicatio	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2006.							
2a) This action is FINAL.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the m								
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Papers								
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)								
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	•	·	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	ate	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/780,564

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed 4/14/2006, with respect to 1-21 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The Final rejection of claims 1-21 has been withdrawn.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,718,028. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-21 of the present application is similar in scope with obvious wording variations and that the limitations of claims 1-21 of the U.S. Patent No. 6,718,028 clearly cover all the limitations of claims 1-21 of the present application. For example:

Application/Control Number: 10/780,564

Art Unit: 2614

Claim 1 of the U.S. Patent 6,718,028 A new destination number determination switching system for a telecommunications environment in which a called party has discontinued an old called party destination number and obtained a new called party destination number, the switching system comprising: a hub switch that receives at least one call, to the old called party destination number, rerouted from at least one original destination switch associated with the old called party destination number, based on a routing number prefix associated with the hub switch, the routing number prefix causing the hub switch to initiate a trigger to a network platform that obtains the new called party destination number.

Claim 1 of the present application A new service destination determination switching system for a telecommunications environment in which a called party discontinues an old service to an old service destination and obtains a new service to a new service destination, the switching system comprising: a hub switch that receives at least one rerouted call from an old service destination switch associated with the old called party destination number, the hub switch initiating a trigger to a network platform that obtains the new service destination, the hub switch being designated before the call is placed to receive rerouted calls from the old service destination switch.

Important Notice

4. The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to *Group Art Unit 2614*.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,564 Page 4

Art Unit: 2614

Conclusion

5. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

A Terminal Disclaimer to over the above double patenting rejection.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop _____(explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Quoc Tran** whose telephone number is (571) 272-7511. The examiner can normally be reached on M, T, TH and Friday from 8:00 to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (571) 272-7499.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600** whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

